

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/482,724	01/13/2000	Robert J. Barsotti	PT0026CIPUS	7393
7590 01/09/2006			EXAMINER	
CHARLES E.		MIGGINS, MICHAEL C		
E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL-PATENTS WILMINGTON, DE 19898			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	

DATE MAILED: 01/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/482,724	BARSOTTI ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael C. Miggins	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication of Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RELY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of Period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from titute, cause the application to become ABANDON	H(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, DN. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	2 August 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-17</u> is/are withdraction 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cort 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So rection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica priority documents have been receive reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/13/00, 7/28/00. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	(08) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			
PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office	e Action Summary F	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 01032006			

Application/Control Number: 09/482,724 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-8 in the reply filed on 8/22/03 is acknowledged.

- 2. This application contains claims 9-17 are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 8/22/03. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites "(including melamine formaldehyde with imino functionality, methylol functionality and partially or highly alkoxy methyl functionality)" it is unclear

Art Unit: 1772

what is included or excluded by the claim since it is not clear whether all the functionalities recited in the claim are required or just one. It is suggested applicant change the limitation to a Markush group and remove the parenthesis.

- 6. The term "partially or highly" in claim 3 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "partially or highly" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.
- 7. Claim 5 is indefinite because it recites an open ended range "greater than 150", open ended ranges are indefinite (MPEP 2173).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kotani et al. (US 5766751) in view of Barnes et al. (US 5234761) and

The second layer of claim 6 is optional since the second layer of claim 1 is optional and need not be disclosed by the prior art.

Kotani discloses a polymeric rigid container for foods and beverages (column 17, lines 10-16) which comprises a polymeric substrate shaped to define a container for a food or a beverage (column 16, lines 1-25, column 17, lines 10-16), a first coating layer

adhered to at least one surface of the polymeric substrate for retarding the transmission of oxygen and carbon dioxide through the substrate (column 8, line 64 through column 10, line 22, column 15, lines 1-33), said first coating layer comprising an organic binder and from 10% up to less than 90% by weight based on the dry weight of the first coating layer (column 12, lines 2-26), of an inorganic laminar mineral selected from montmorillonite, laponite, organo-montmorillonite and mixtures thereof (column 6, lines 20-41), wherein said laminar mineral are oriented within the first coating layer in a generally parallel three-dimensional and overlapping spaced relationship (column 4, line 63 through column 5, line 5, column 6, lines 20-41), and wherein said organic binder is selected from water-soluble or water dispersible organic resins and mixtures thereof, and optionally a coating, wherein the binder is a polyester, acrylic resins, polyolefins, polysaccharides, polyvinyl alcohol (column 8, line 64 through column 10, line 22) (applies to instant claims 1-2).

Kotani discloses wherein the substrate is biaxially oriented PET bottle (column 16, lines 1-46 and column 17, lines 10-16), wherein the mineral has an aspect ratio greater than 150 (column 5, lines 6-23) (applies to instant claims 6-8).

Kotani fails to disclose a melamine formaldehyde binder and wherein the laminar mineral material comprise platelets.

Barnes discloses a melamine formaldehyde binder containing clay and wherein the laminar mineral material comprise montmorillonite platelets (column 2, lines 15-68) for the purpose of providing improved barrier properties (applies to instant claims 1 and 6).

Art Unit: 1772

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided a melamine formaldehyde binder containing clay and wherein the laminar mineral material comprise montmorillonite platelets in the barrier coatings of Kotani in order to provide improved barrier properties.

Page 5

Kotani discloses wherein the substrate is biaxially oriented PET (column 16, lines 1-46), wherein the binder comprises acrylic polymers (column 8, line 64 through column 10, line 22) (applies to instant claims 4-5).

Kotani fails to disclose that the acrylic polymers has a molecular weight of between 3,000 to 50,000.

Harrison discloses acrylic polymers have a molecular weight of between 3,000 to 50,000 (page 6, lines 3-5) in a binder containing a clay for the purpose of improved barrier properties (applies to instant claim 4).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have provided a binder wherein the acrylic polymers have a molecular weight of between 3,000 to 50,000 in the barrier coating of Kotani in order to provide improved barrier properties as taught or suggested by Harrison.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Miggins whose telephone number is 571-272-1494. The examiner can normally be reached on 1:00-10:00pm.

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Miggins Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 1772

MCM January 3, 2006